

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,823	AMICANGIOLI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ABDULLAH RIYAMI	2616	

All Participants:

(1) ABDULLAH RIYAMI.

(2) Kent J. Sieffert.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22, 44 and 45

Prior art documents discussed:

US 2004/0078625 (*Rampuria et al.*)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner and attorney discussed patentability of claims 22, 44 and 45. Attorney decided to cancel claims 22-32, 44, and 45 to put the application in condition for allowance and file a continuation to further clarify subject matter.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)